

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.

WALSH COLLEGE OF  
ACCOUNTANCY AND  
BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,

Honorable

Defendant.

---

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendant Walsh College of Accountancy and Business Administration (“Defendant”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441 *et seq.*, provides notice of the removal of the above-captioned action from Oakland County Circuit Court, State of Michigan (Case No. 2022-196570-CD), to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, and in support states as follows.

1. On December 7, 2022, Plaintiff Robin Benvenuti (“Plaintiff”) filed suit against Defendant in Oakland County Circuit Court, State of Michigan, said action being designated as *Robin Benvenuti v. Walsh College of Accountancy and Business Administration*, Docket No. 2022-196570-CD (“Circuit Court Action”). The Complaint asserts one single Count of alleged

age discrimination under Michigan's Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL §37.2101 *et seq.* ("ELCRA").

2. On January 7, 2023, Defendant filed and served to Plaintiff its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint.

3. On February 1, 2023, Plaintiff filed and served upon Plaintiff a First Amended Complaint adding, in addition to her claim alleging age discrimination under ELCRA, a second Count alleging age discrimination under the federal Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. § 621, *et seq.*

4. Therefore, this removal is timely filed within thirty (30) days of service of the first pleading in which a federal question was pled under 28 U.S.C. §1446(b)(1).

5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), copies of all process, pleadings, orders, motions, and briefs served on the Defendant as well as those filed in the Oakland County Circuit Court in this matter are attached hereto along with the Court's docket sheet.

No.	Description	Date
Exhibit 1	Complaint & Jury Demand	12/07/22
Exhibit 2	Summons Issued to Walsh	12/08/22
Exhibit 3	Return of Service	12/09/22
Exhibit 4	Answer to Complaint and Affirmative Defenses	01/27/23
Exhibit 5	Attorney Appearance of Ryan VanOver	01/27/23
Exhibit 6	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint	02/01/23
Exhibit 7	Oakland County Docket Sheet	02/07/23

6. This case is being removed to this Court on the bases of federal question jurisdiction.

7. As of the date of this Notice of Removal, Defendant has not filed a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.

8. A party may timely remove a pending state court action to federal district court if the federal district court would have original jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. §1441(a). Federal district courts have original jurisdiction over all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States. 28 U.S.C. §1331.

9. Removal is appropriate under the Court's original "federal question" jurisdiction because Plaintiff alleges age discrimination in violation of the ADEA. (Exhibit 6, First Amended Complaint, ¶¶35-40).

10. In addition to exercising its federal question jurisdiction, this Court should also exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's age discrimination claim under state law (ELCRA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1337, as such claims form part of the same claim or controversy under Article II of the U.S. Constitution as the ADEA claim over which this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.

11. Because the Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this matter, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §1441, and because all

other prerequisites for removal of this case to this Court have been fulfilled, this matter may be removed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441.

12. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) and §1446(a), which provide for removal of any civil action to the federal district court for the district and division embracing the place where the state court action is pending. This Court is the district court for the district embracing the County of Oakland in which the state court action was filed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391; 28 U.S.C. §1441(a); E.D. Mich. LR 83.10.

13. Furthermore, promptly after filing this Notice of Removal, as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), Defendant will give written notice of the Notice of Removal to the Oakland County Circuit Court, Michigan and also serve that written notice upon Plaintiff's counsel.

In accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. §1331, §1441(a), and §1446, Defendant respectfully requests that the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, accept this Notice of Removal, assume jurisdiction of this lawsuit, and issue such further orders and processes as may be necessary to bring before it all necessary parties.

WHEREFORE, Defendant gives notice of removal of this case from Oakland County Circuit Court to this Court.

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Dated: February 17, 2023

By: /s/ James F. Hermon  
James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
jhermon@dykema.com  
rvanover@dykema.com

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 17, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System which will send notification of such filing to all ECF participants, and a copy was also sent via email and First Class Mail to:

Donald J. Gasiorek  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*  
GASIOREK MORGAN  
30500 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
[dgasiorek@gmgmklaw.com](mailto:dgasiorek@gmgmklaw.com)

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Dated: February 17, 2023

By: /s/ James F. Hermon

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
[jhermon@dykema.com](mailto:jhermon@dykema.com)  
[rvanover@dykema.com](mailto:rvanover@dykema.com)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.

WALSH COLLEGE OF  
ACCOUNTANCY AND BUSINESS  
ADMINISTRATION,

Honorable

Defendant.

---

**EXHIBITS TO**  
**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

1. Complaint & Jury Demand
2. Summons Issued to Walsh
3. Return of Service
4. Answer to Complaint and Affirmative Defenses
5. Attorney Appearance of Ryan VanOver
6. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint
7. Oakland County Docket Sheet

**EXHIBIT 1**

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

2022-197650-CD

v.

Case No. 2022-CD  
JUDGE DAVID M. COHEN

WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY  
AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,  
a Michigan Nonprofit Corporation,

Defendant,

---

**GASIOREK MORGAN**

Donald J. Gasiorek (P24987)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
30500 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001 / (248) 865-0002 (Fax)  
[dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com](mailto:dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com)

---

*There is no other Civil Action arising out of the same transaction as alleged in this Complaint, pending in this Court nor has any such action been previously filed and dismissed after having been assigned to a judge.*

**PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, ROBIN BENVENUTI, by her attorneys, GASIOREK MORGAN, states the following for her Complaint against Defendant:

1. This Complaint asserts claims of age discrimination in violation of Michigan's Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL §37.2101, et seq. ("ELCRA").

**PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff, ROBIN BENVENUTI, is an individual residing in Shelby Township, Macomb County, Michigan.

3. Defendant, WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, is a Michigan nonprofit corporation, with a principal place of business located in Troy, Oakland County, Michigan.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Honorable Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's ELCRA claims pursuant to MCL § 37.2801.

5. Venue is proper in this Judicial Circuit pursuant to MCL § 37.2801 and because Defendant conducts regular, continuous and systematic business activities within Oakland County and because the discriminatory and illegal conduct of the Defendant occurred in Oakland County, Michigan.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Plaintiff's year of birth is 1961.

7. In June 1997, Plaintiff commenced employment with Defendant as a part-time personnel coordinator.

8. In November 2001, Defendant promoted Plaintiff to full time personnel coordinator also known as Human Resources Coordinator.

9. Plaintiff, at all times, performed her assigned duties and responsibilities in a manner that demonstrated that she was qualified for her position and qualified to retain her position as a Human Resources Coordinator.

10. For example, all of the Defendant's year-end or annual performance reviews contained in Plaintiff's personnel file rated Plaintiff's performance as "E" (exceeded objectives/criteria) and/or "M" or "G" (fully satisfactory or met performance objectives/criteria).

11. In addition, all of Defendant's "mid-year" performance reviews contained in Plaintiff's personnel file rated Plaintiff as "progressing satisfactorily".

12. None of Plaintiff's performance reviews rated her performance as "NI" (or some criteria/objectives not met) and/or "D" (did not meet most or all criteria/objectives).

13. In or about June 2022, Defendant hired Veronica Richards ("Richards") as its Director of Human Resources.

14. Richards immediately began treating Plaintiff differently than younger employees.

15. Between June and August 2022, Richards recommended that Defendant terminate Plaintiff's employment and sought to replace Plaintiff with someone younger.

16. On August 24, 2022, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.

17. Defendant mentioned nothing about any deficiency or inefficiency in Plaintiff's work performance when it notified her of her employment termination.

18. Moreover, Defendant's Employee Handbook provides that "warning notices will be placed in the applicable employee's personnel file."

19. No warning notices (i.e, oral warning, written warning, probation, and/or suspension) are contained in Plaintiff's personnel file.

20. The same date that Defendant terminated Plaintiff' (August 24, 2022), Defendant sent out a written memo from Richards to employees, addressed "Dear Colleagues" and titled "Welcome to Walsh College – Danielle Ruch" (hereafter "Dear Colleagues Memo").

21. In Richards' "Dear Colleagues Memo" dated August 24, 2022, Defendant announced that Plaintiff's "last day with Walsh College was on August 24<sup>th</sup>" and also announced the hire of her replacement, Danielle Ruch, as Human Resources Business Partner.

22. Danielle Ruch is substantially younger than Plaintiff.

23. The duties and responsibilities of the Human Resources Business Partner position are the same as Human Resources Coordinator (Plaintiff's former title).

24. Plaintiff was replaced by and/or her former duties and responsibilities were assumed by a younger individual.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant's proffered reasons for terminating Plaintiff's employment were a pretext for discrimination.

26. Plaintiff's age was a factor in Defendant's decision to terminate her employment.

27. Defendant's discriminatory treatment and its termination of Plaintiff because of her age was willful and deliberate.

28. On November 10, 2022, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination ("Charge") with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") which Charge was received by the EEOC on November 22, 2022 but has yet to be assigned an Agency Charge number.

**COUNT I**  
**AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ELCRA**

29. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

30. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an employee and Defendant satisfied the definition of an employer within the meaning of Michigan's ELCRA, MCL §37.2101, *et seq.*, as amended.

31. At all relevant times, under the ELCRA, Plaintiff had a right to employment free from discrimination based on her age.

32. Defendant violated Plaintiff's rights under the ELCRA by, among other things, treating Plaintiff less favorably than similarly situated younger employees, terminating Plaintiff's employment because of her age, and/or otherwise discriminating against Plaintiff with respect to the terms, conditions, opportunities, compensation and/or privileges of her employment because of her age.

33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory and wrongful conduct, Plaintiff suffered damages, including but not limited to, loss of past and future income and employment benefits, outrage, humiliation, embarrassment, mental anxiety, emotional distress, and loss of professional reputation.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter a Judgment against Defendant in whatever amount is shown to be established by the proofs in this cause, together with exemplary damages, interest, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL IS HEREBY MADE.**

Respectfully submitted,

**GASIOREK MORGAN**

BY: /s/ Donald Gasiorek

DONALD J. GASIOREK (P24987)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

30500 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 425

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

(248) 865-0001

dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com

Dated: December 7, 2022



30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY | SUITE 425 | FARMINGTON HILLS | MI 48334

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

2022-197650-CD

v.

Case No. 2022- -CD

WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY  
AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,  
a Michigan Nonprofit Corporation,

JUDGE DAVID M. COHEN

Defendant,

---

**GASIOREK MORGAN**

Donald J. Gasiorek (P24987)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
30500 Northwestern Hwy Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001 / (248) 865-0002 (Fax)  
[dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com](mailto:dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com)

---

**JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff, ROBIN BENVENUTI, by her attorneys, GASIOREK MORGAN, demands  
a trial by jury in this cause of action.

Respectfully submitted,

**GASIOREK MORGAN**

BY: /s/ Donald Gasiorek  
DONALD J. GASIOREK (P24987)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
30500 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001  
[dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com](mailto:dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com)

Dated: December 7, 2022

**EXHIBIT 2**

STATE OF MICHIGAN		CASE NO.
6th	JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY PROBATE	SUMMONS
		22-197650-CD
Court address 1200 N. Telegraph Road, Pontiac, MI 48340		Court telephone no. 248-858-0344
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).  ROBIN BENVENUTI		Defendant's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).  WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, a Michigan Nonprofit Corporation
		v
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no.  DONALD GASIOREK (P24987) 30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY, SUITE 425 FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334 (248) 865-0001		

**Instructions:** Check the items below that apply to you and provide any required information. Submit this form to the court clerk along with your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory addendum (form MC 21). The summons section will be completed by the court clerk.

## Domestic Relations Case

- There are no pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.
  - There is one or more pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing those cases.
  - It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.

## Civil Case

- This is a business case in which all or part of the action includes a business or commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035.

MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4).

There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.

A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has been previously filed in  this court,  \_\_\_\_\_ Court, where it was given case number \_\_\_\_\_ and assigned to Judge \_\_\_\_\_.

The action  remains  is no longer pending.

**SUMMONS**

**NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT:** In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

- NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT:** In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

  1. You are being sued.
  2. **YOU HAVE 21 DAYS** after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to **file a written answer with the court** and serve a copy on the other party **or take other lawful action with the court** (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside this state).
  3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
  4. If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

Issue date <b>12/8/2022</b>	Expiration date* <b>03/09/2023</b>	Court clerk <b>Lisa Brown</b>
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------

\*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

SUMMONS

Case No. 22-197650-CD

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

**CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE** **OFFICER CERTIFICATE**

OR

 **AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER**

I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]), and that: (notarization not required)

Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult, and I am not a party or an officer of a corporate party (MCR 2.103[A]), and that: (notarization required)

I served personally a copy of the summons and complaint,

I served by registered or certified mail (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and complaint,

together with \_\_\_\_\_

List all documents served with the summons and complaint \_\_\_\_\_

on the defendant(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of service	Day, date, time

I have personally attempted to serve the summons and complaint, together with any attachments, on the following defendant(s) and have been unable to complete service.

Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of service	Day, date, time

I declare under the penalties of perjury that this proof of service has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

Service fee \$	Miles traveled	Fee \$	Signature
Incorrect address fee \$	Miles traveled	Fee \$	TOTAL FEE \$ Name (type or print) Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me on \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ County, Michigan.  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy court clerk/Notary public

Notary public, State of Michigan, County of \_\_\_\_\_

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE**

I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together with PL's Interrogatories, Request for Production Attachments

of Documents and Notice of Taking Depositions \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ Day, date, time \_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBIT 3**

This case has been designated as an eFiling case, for more information please visit

Approved, SCAO  
www.oakgov.com/efiling.Original - Court  
1st copy - Defendant2nd copy - Plaintiff  
3rd copy - Return

## STATE OF MICHIGAN

JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
6th  
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
COUNTY PROBATE

## SUMMONS

## CASE NO.

22-197650-CD

Court address  
1200 N. Telegraph Road, Pontiac, MI 48340Court telephone no.  
248-858-0344Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).  
ROBIN BENVENUTIDefendant's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).  
WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY AND  
BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, a Michigan  
Nonprofit CorporationPlaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no.  
DONALD GASIOREK (P24987)  
30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY, SUITE 425  
FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001**Instructions:** Check the items below that apply to you and provide any required information. Submit this form to the court clerk along with your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory addendum (form MC 21). The summons section will be completed by the court clerk.**Domestic Relations Case**

- There are no pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.
- There is one or more pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing those cases.
- It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint.

**Civil Case**

- This is a business case in which all or part of the action includes a business or commercial dispute under MCL 600.8035.
- MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4).
- There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.
- A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has been previously filed in  this court,  \_\_\_\_\_ Court, where it was given case number \_\_\_\_\_ and assigned to Judge \_\_\_\_\_.
- The action  remains  is no longer pending.

Summons section completed by court clerk.

**SUMMONS****NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT:** In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

1. You are being sued.
2. **YOU HAVE 21 DAYS** after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to **file a written answer with the court** and serve a copy on the other party **or take other lawful action with the court** (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside this state).
3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
4. If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

Issue date <b>12/8/2022</b>	Expiration date* <b>03/09/2023</b>	Court clerk <b>Lisa Brown</b>
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------------

\*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

**SUMMONS**  
Case No. 22-197650-CD

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

**CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE**

**OFFICER CERTIFICATE**

I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]), and that: (notarization not required)

OR

**AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER**

Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult, and I am not a party or an officer of a corporate party (MCR 2.103[A]), and that: (notarization required)

- I served personally a copy of the summons and complaint,  
 I served by registered or certified mail (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and complaint,

together with \_\_\_\_\_

List all documents served with the summons and complaint \_\_\_\_\_

on the defendant(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of service	Day, date, time

- I have personally attempted to serve the summons and complaint, together with any attachments, on the following defendant(s) and have been unable to complete service.

Defendant's name	Complete address(es) of service	Day, date, time

I declare under the penalties of perjury that this proof of service has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

Service fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Fee \$	Signature
Incorrect address fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Fee \$	Name (type or print) Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me on \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_ County, Michigan.  
 Date \_\_\_\_\_

My commission expires: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy court clerk/Notary public  
 Date \_\_\_\_\_

Notary public, State of Michigan, County of \_\_\_\_\_

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE**

I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together with PL's Interrogatories, Request for Production of Documents and Notice of Taking Depositions on \_\_\_\_\_ Day, date, time \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature

on Friday, Dec. 9, 2022  
 Day, date, time \_\_\_\_\_

on behalf of WASH COLLECT \_\_\_\_\_

**EXHIBIT 4**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND**

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2022-197650-CD

WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY  
AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,

Honorable David M. Cohen

Defendant.

---

Donald J. Gasiorek (P24987)  
Attorney for Plaintiff Benvenuti  
GASIOREK MORGAN  
30500 Northwestern Hwt., Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001  
dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
Attorneys for Defendant Walsh College  
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
jhermon@dykema.com  
rvanover@dykema.com

---

**ANSWER TO COMPLAINT**

Defendant Walsh College of Accountancy and Business Administration (“Walsh College”) answers Plaintiff Robin Benvenuti’s (“Plaintiff’s”) Complaint as follows:

1. Walsh College admits only that Plaintiff’s Complaint asserts claims of age discrimination alleged to be in violation of the Elliott Larsen Civil Rights Act (“ELCRA”). Walsh College specifically denies that it has violated ELCRA and denies all remaining allegations in the Complaint.

**PARTIES**

2. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
3. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
5. Walsh College admits only that venue is proper in this judicial circuit, and that it conducts regular, continuous, and systematic business activities within Oakland County. Walsh College denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 5 of the Complaint, and specifically denies that it has engaged in any discriminatory or illegal conduct against Plaintiff as alleged in the Complaint.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Walsh College lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained within paragraph 6 of the Complaint and therefore leaves Plaintiff to her proofs.
7. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
8. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 8 of the Complaint.
9. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
10. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
11. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
12. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 12 of the Complaint.
13. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 13 of the Complaint.
14. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
15. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
16. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
17. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
18. Walsh College admits only that a single sentence in the handbook, taken out of context states that “warning notices will be placed in the applicable employee’s personnel file.” In context, the sentence reads,

Five types of discipline are recognized: oral warning, written warning, probation, suspension and dismissal. The College reserves the right to use any or none of these steps in any order it deems necessary.

Warning notices will be placed in the applicable employee's personnel file. Should further violations occur, such warnings will remain as a basis for further disciplinary action.

The College reserves the right to alter, modify or amend this disciplinary policy. Furthermore, the disciplinary policy does not alter the right of the College or employee to terminate the employment relationship, at any time, with or without any notice, reason or cause.

Walsh College denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

19. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
20. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
21. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
22. Walsh College lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained within paragraph 22 of the Complaint and therefore leaves Plaintiff to her proofs.
23. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 23 of the Complaint.
24. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
25. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 25 of the Complaint.
26. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 26 of the Complaint.
27. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
28. Walsh College admits only that on November 10, 2022 Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC. Walsh College lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegation that the EEOC received the Charge on November 22, 2022. Walsh

College denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 28 of the Complaint; the Charge was assigned Charge Number 471-2023-01058.

### **COUNT I – AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ELCRA**

29. Walsh College incorporates by reference its responses to each and every paragraph of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
30. Walsh College admits the allegations contained within paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
31. Walsh College admits only that ELCRA prohibits age discrimination in employment, as that concept is defined in the statute and in the decisions of Courts interpreting that statute. Walsh College denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 31 of the Complaint.
32. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 32 of the Complaint.
33. Walsh College denies the allegations contained within paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

### **ADDITIONAL/AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Walsh College asserts the following additional/affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint:

**(a) Failure to State a Claim**

Plaintiff's complaint fails, in whole or in part, to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

**(b) Mitigation**

Plaintiff has failed to take appropriate steps to mitigate the economic damages she alleges she has suffered.

**(c) Reservation of Right**

Defendant reserves the right to assert such additional affirmative defenses as become apparent in the course of discovery, which is ongoing and incomplete.

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Dated: January 27, 2023

By: /s/ James F. Hermon

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
Attorneys for Defendant Walsh College  
Dykema Gossett PLLC  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
Telephone: (313) 568-6800  
[jhermon@dykema.com](mailto:jhermon@dykema.com)  
[rvanOver@dykema.com](mailto:rvanOver@dykema.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 27, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's MiFILE e-filing system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Dated: January 27, 2023

By: /s/ James F. Hermon

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Dykema Gossett PLLC  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
Telephone: (313) 568-6800  
JHermon@dykema.com

016417.000093 4888-5418-4005.2

**EXHIBIT 5**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND**

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 2022-197650-CD

WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY  
AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,

Honorable David M. Cohen

Defendant.

---

Donald J. Gasiorek (P24987)  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*  
GASIOREK MORGAN  
30500 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001  
dgasiorek@gmgmklaw.com

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
jhermon@dykema.com  
rvanover@dykema.com

---

**NOTICE OF APPEARANCE**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that Dykema Gossett PLLC, through Ryan J. VanOver, hereby enters his appearance as counsel of record in this case on behalf of Defendant Walsh College of Accountancy and Business Administration.

Please direct all future pleadings, notices, and correspondence accordingly.

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Dated: January 27, 2023

By: /s/ Ryan J. Vanover

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
jhermon@dykema.com  
rvanover@dykema.com

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 27, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's MiFILE e-filing system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

Dated: January 27, 2023

By: /s/ Ryan J. Vanover

Ryan J. Vanover (P82007)  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
rvanover@dykema.com

016417.000093 4896-0631-9949.1

**EXHIBIT 6**

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

ROBIN BENVENUTI,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2022-197650-CD  
Honorable David M. Cohen

WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY  
AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION,  
a Michigan Nonprofit Corporation,

Defendant,

---

**GASIOREK MORGAN**

Donald J. Gasiorek (P24987)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
30500 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001 / (248) 865-0002 (Fax)  
[dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com](mailto:dgasiorek@gmkmklaw.com)

**DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC**

James F. Hermon (P53765)  
Ryan J. VanOver (P82007)  
Attorneys for Defendant  
400 Renaissance Center  
Detroit, Michigan 48243  
(313) 568-6800  
[jhermon@dykema.com](mailto:jhermon@dykema.com)  
[rvanover@dykema.com](mailto:rvanover@dykema.com)

---

**PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, ROBIN BENVENUTI, by her attorneys, GASIOREK MORGAN, states the following for her First Amended Complaint against Defendant:

1. This First Amended Complaint asserts claims of age discrimination in violation of Michigan's Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL §37.2101, *et seq.* ("ELCRA") and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 USC §37.621, *et seq.* ("ADEA").

**PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff, ROBIN BENVENUTI, is an individual residing in Shelby Township, Macomb County, Michigan.

3. Defendant, WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, is a Michigan nonprofit corporation, with a principal place of business located in Troy, Oakland County, Michigan.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Honorable Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's ELCRA claims pursuant to MCL § 37.2801.

5. Venue is proper in this Judicial Circuit pursuant to MCL § 37.2801 and because Defendant conducts regular, continuous and systematic business activities within Oakland County and because the discriminatory and illegal conduct of the Defendant occurred in Oakland County, Michigan.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Plaintiff's year of birth is 1961.

7. In June 1997, Plaintiff commenced employment with Defendant as a part-time personnel coordinator.

8. In November 2001, Defendant promoted Plaintiff to full time personnel coordinator also known as Human Resources Coordinator.

9. Plaintiff, at all times, performed her assigned duties and responsibilities in a manner that demonstrated that she was qualified for her position and qualified to retain her position as a Human Resources Coordinator.

10. For example, all of the Defendant's year-end or annual performance reviews contained in Plaintiff's personnel file rated Plaintiff's performance as "E"

(exceeded objectives/criteria) and/or “M” or “G” (fully satisfactory or met performance objectives/criteria).

11. In addition, all of Defendant’s “mid-year” performance reviews contained in Plaintiff’s personnel file rated Plaintiff as “progressing satisfactorily”.

12. None of Plaintiff’s performance reviews rated her performance as “NI” (or some criteria/objectives not met) and/or “D” (did not meet most or all criteria/objectives).

13. In or about June 2022, Defendant hired Veronica Richards (“Richards”) as its Director of Human Resources.

14. Richards immediately began treating Plaintiff differently than younger employees.

15. Between June and August 2022, Richards recommended that Defendant terminate Plaintiff’s employment and sought to replace Plaintiff with someone younger.

16. On August 24, 2022, Defendant terminated Plaintiff’s employment.

17. Defendant mentioned nothing about any deficiency or inefficiency in Plaintiff’s work performance when it notified her of her employment termination.

18. Moreover, Defendant’s Employee Handbook provides that “warning notices will be placed in the applicable employee’s personnel file.”

19. No warning notices (i.e., oral warning, written warning, probation, and/or suspension) are contained in Plaintiff’s personnel file.

20. The same date that Defendant terminated Plaintiff’ (August 24, 2022), Defendant sent out a written memo from Richards to employees, addressed “Dear

Colleagues" and titled "Welcome to Walsh College – Danielle Ruch" (hereafter "Dear Colleagues Memo").

21. In Richards' "Dear Colleagues Memo" dated August 24, 2022, Defendant announced that Plaintiff's "last day with Walsh College was on August 24<sup>th</sup> "and also announced the hire of her replacement, Danielle Ruch, as Human Resources Business Partner.

22. Danielle Ruch is substantially younger than Plaintiff.

23. The duties and responsibilities of the Human Resources Business Partner position are the same as Human Resources Coordinator (Plaintiff's former title).

24. Plaintiff was replaced by and/or her former duties and responsibilities were assumed by a younger individual.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendant's proffered reasons for terminating Plaintiff's employment were a pretext for discrimination.

26. Plaintiff's age was a factor in Defendant's decision to terminate her employment.

27. Defendant's discriminatory treatment and its termination of Plaintiff because of her age were willful and deliberate.

28. On November 10, 2022, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination ("Charge") with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") which Charge asserted violations of the ADEA; the Charge was assigned Charge Number 471-2023-01058.



30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY | SUITE 425 | FARMINGTON HILLS | MI 48334

29. Plaintiff has timely amended her Complaint to add a claim for age discrimination in violation of the ADEA because at least 60 days have elapsed since she filed her EEOC Charge of Discrimination.

**COUNT I**  
**AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ELCRA**

30. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every paragraph of this Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

31. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an employee and Defendant satisfied the definition of an employer within the meaning of Michigan's ELCRA, MCL §37.2101, *et seq.*, as amended.

32. At all relevant times, under the ELCRA, Plaintiff had a right to employment free from discrimination based on her age.

33. Defendant violated Plaintiff's rights under the ELCRA by, among other things, treating Plaintiff less favorably than similarly situated younger employees, terminating Plaintiff's employment because of her age, and/or otherwise discriminating against Plaintiff with respect to the terms, conditions, opportunities, compensation and/or privileges of her employment because of her age.

34. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's intentional discriminatory and wrongful conduct, Plaintiff suffered damages, including but not limited to, loss of past and future income and employment benefits, outrage, humiliation, embarrassment, mental anxiety, emotional distress, and loss of professional reputation.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter a Judgment against Defendant in whatever amount is shown to be established by the



30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY | SUITE 425 | FARMINGTON HILLS | MI 48334

proofs in this cause, together with exemplary damages, interest, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL IS HEREBY MADE.**

**COUNT II**  
**AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ADEA**

35. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every paragraph of this Amended Complaint as though fully set forth herein verbatim.

36. Defendant is an "employer" as that term is defined in the ADEA.

37. Pursuant to the ADEA, Defendant, as an employer, had a duty to Plaintiff not to terminate or otherwise discriminate against Plaintiff with respect to her employment, compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment because of her age; or to limit, segregate, or classify Plaintiff for employment in any way which deprived or tended to deprive Plaintiff of employment opportunities or otherwise adversely affect the employment status of Plaintiff because of her age.

38. Defendant violated this duty to Plaintiff by, among other things, treating Plaintiff less favorably than similarly situated younger employees, terminating Plaintiff's employment because of her age, classifying Plaintiff in a way that deprived or tended to deprive her of employment opportunities because of her age and/or otherwise discriminating against Plaintiff or adversely affecting her employment status with respect to the terms, conditions, opportunities, compensation, and/or privileges of her employment because of her age.

39. Defendant's discriminatory treatment and termination of Plaintiff because of her age were willful and deliberate.



30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY | SUITE 425 | FARMINGTON HILLS | MI 48334

40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's intentional violation of Plaintiff's civil rights, as set forth in the ADEA, Plaintiff suffered damages, including but not limited to, loss of past and future income and employment benefits, outrage, humiliation, embarrassment, mental anxiety, emotional distress, and loss of professional reputation.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to enter its Judgment against Defendant for money damages in whatever amount is shown to be established by the proofs of this case, plus exemplary damages, liquidated and/or punitive damages, together with appropriate equitable and injunctive relief, and the imposition of attorney fees and costs.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL IS HEREBY MADE.**

Respectfully submitted,

**GASIOREK MORGAN**

BY: /s/ Donald Gasiorek  
DONALD J. GASIOREK (P24987)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
30500 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 425  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334  
(248) 865-0001  
[dgasiorek@gmglaw.com](mailto:dgasiorek@gmglaw.com)

Dated: February 1, 2023

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing instrument was served upon the attorneys of record of all parties herein at their respective addresses disclosed on the pleadings on February 1, 2023. I declare under penalty of perjury that the statement above is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

By:       U.S. Mail                   FAX  
       Hand Delivered            X E-filing  
       Federal Express         Email

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/ Randi Hanlon \_\_\_\_\_



30500 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY | SUITE 425 | FARMINGTON HILLS | MI 48334

**EXHIBIT 7**

# Court Explorer

Register of Actions	Go Back	
<b>Case Number</b>		
2022-197650-CD		
<b>Entitlement</b>		
BENVENUTI ROBIN vs. WALSH COLLEGE OF ACCOUNTANCY &		
<b>Judge Name</b>		
DAVID M. COHEN		
<b>Case E-Filed</b>		
YES		
<b>Case Filed</b>		
12/07/2022		
<b>Case Disposed</b>		
00/00/0000		
Date	Code	Desc
02/01/2023	MPS	MIFILE PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
02/01/2023	AMC	AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED
01/31/2023	POS	AFFIDAVIT/PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
01/31/2023	MPS	MIFILE PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
01/30/2023	MPS	MIFILE PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
01/30/2023	MPS	MIFILE PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
01/30/2023	ATC	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FILED /AFM/POS/DFT
01/27/2023	MPS	MIFILE PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
01/27/2023	MPS	MIFILE PROOF OF SERVICE FILED
01/27/2023	APP	APPEARANCE FILED /POS/DFT
12/20/2022	SUM	P/S ON SUMMONS FILED 12/09/22
12/08/2022	SI	SUMMONS ISSUED
12/07/2022	C	COMPLAINT FILED JD